Approved For Release 2004/08/17: CIA-RDP63T00245R000100260001-1

... particular recruiting altuation. aring the period August 25, 1958, through mber 15, 1959, the Federal agencies aua novment of travel and moving exto 2,406 new employees; 2,116 of the wientists A total of \$752,803.46 was exientists A total of \$752,803.46 was exied for this purpose; payments averaged to per new employee. Only 49 of the 2,406 ... lovees violated their i-year employme proment, and approximately \$15,000 will received from the individuals concerned. This experience can be compared with her estimates that Pederal agencies would expenses for approximately 4,000 new employles per year at an average cost of

Prince of restrictions on position coverage Public Law 85-749 restricts authority for payment to new employees in that-

(a) Positions are restricted to the natural and mathematical sciences, engineering, and architectural fields, and to related technical

(b) Skills must be critical to the national

recurrity effort; and
(c) There must be an established manpower shortage.

The absence of the first two restrictions would have resulted in relatively few additional new employees receiving payment for raivel and moving expenses. However, the presence of these two restrictions precluded the alleviation of serious recruiting difficulties for some agencies for certain highly specialized and shortage positions.

1. Professional veterinarians are in a critical shortage category. Department of Agriculture employs over 90 percent of the reterinarians in the Federal service. The requirement for relationship of the position to the national security precludes coverage

under the current law: 2. City and community planners are also pesignated as "abortage" occupation by the distill service Commission for purposes of authorizing new minimum pay rates in accordance with section 808 of the Clamifon-tion Act of 1949, as amended. Yet, the Director of the National Capital Planning Commission cannot pay travel and moving cause these positions cannot be found in substantial numbers (in relation to the total strength of the occupation involved) in agencies whose programs directly involve the

actional security.

3. Bureau of Indian Affairs employs teachers, social workers, and journeyman mechanirs at outlying locations in Alaska. The Bureau prefers to recruit qualified persons ready residing in Alaska for these positions. alaska residents are already adjusted to olihate and living conditions. However, the restrictions on position coverage do not permit payment of travel expenses from resisence in Alaska to the duty station. As a result, very few Alaska residents are availanie for employment with the Bureau. Con-equently, the Bureau recruits in the coninental United States and pays transportscion expenses to and from Alaska under another legal authority. This inability to esy travel expenses for Alaska residents often results in a much greater expenditure of public funds as the results of recruiting from the continental United States.

4. Changing programs result in the need or new kinds of employees, including some a critical shortage occupations. Trend to-ward mechanization in the Post Office Department may encompass need for positions n the electronic computer areas.

5. Strategic Air Command cannot now ob-

ain an adequate number of digital computer programers to staff their Control Division. Primary programing affort of this division is directed toward the execution and chairof of the emergency war plan. The relationever, the restriction on position coverage does not permit payment.

Other examples cited by the Federal agencles were: landscape architects, management interns, geneticiats, physiologists, patholo-gists, entomologists, soil scientists, psychol-ogists, biologists, biochemists, biophysicists, pharmacists, bacteriologists, actuaries, librarians, and medical technologists.

The fact that these positions have been

cited by the agencies as examples of post-tions for which payment of travel and mov-ing expenses is justified by the recruitment situation does not necessarily mean that all will qualify under the requirement that there must be an established manpower shortage. Perhaps some of these positions

will qualify only in sertain geographic areas.

The requirement, that there must be a manpower shortage has been applied in a realistic manner by the Civil Service Commission. In general, the Compulsion has applied the same principles and procedures for determining shortage occupations for this purpose as in done, in determining shortages under section 809 of the Classifi-tation Act of 1946, as amended. Under this section, the Commission is charged by Con-gress with raising rates for hard-to-fill posi-tions so as to assist in providing an adequate supply of employees to meet the vital need oderal agencies.

## Special problem on student trainee

Several departments have recommended that the law be revised to authorize pay-ment of travel and moving expenses of student trainers who are on leave without pay attending college and who, upon graduation, are planning to return to their agencies in a professional capacity. Since these trainess are alreedy on the agencies' rolls, they cannot be considered as new appointees. Agencies have invested heavily in money and time in the training of these students. Univer payment of their travel and moving expenses at time of graduation is possible, there is the strong likelihood that many will resign and accept other employment. They will then receive payment for their travel and moving expenses from their new employers. A high percentage of senior students are married and have children. The payment of moving expenses to the first permanent port of gen-fessional duty takes on unusually high sig-nificance, especially since the families are eften in debt. Enactment of this provision will affect very few employees, but will remove a source of irritation over unequal treatment of two groups of new professional employees.

## CURRENT PRACTICES IN PRIVATE INDUSTRY

Comments of the Federal agencies, reflecting the experiences of their recruiters who are in daily competition with recruiters from industry, confirm the fact that, generally speaking, industry continues to pay more money for more benefits to new and prospective employees in more job categories than does the Federal Government.

Atomic Energy Commission contractors: The practices of 19 large industrial contractors and 7 academic contractors, which together employ approximately 89 percent of the over, 190,000 AEC contractor employees, were enamined. All but one regularly pay the travel and moving expenses of new key and professional employees; the remaining contractor will pay on eccasion. Fourteen of the twenty-six contractors will regularly pay these expenses for all new employees, signard-less of occupation. Twenty-four estimateri provide allowances in addition to payment for travel and moving expenses; these quality moinds subsistence expenses for the family for up to 80 days.

Twenty-five of the twenty-siz contractors also provide for preemployment interviews at dompany expense. These payments are use-stly limited to interviews of technical, setand other her personnel.

1956 survey shows that 75 percent of all ONR contractors pay moving expenses and personal and family travel costs for new employees in shortage categories.

A recent survey reveals that upward of 90

A recent survey reveals that upward of 90 percent of the approximately 2,500 ONR contractors now pay these expenses.

Air Research and Development Command contractors: A 1956 survey shows that ARDG has approximately 155 contractors of the large industrial type. Of these firms, 90 percent pay travel and moving expenses for new employees and their families.

A recent survey industes that approxi-

A recent survey indicates that approximately 90 percent of these large industrial contractors have continued to pay these expenses, and that the trend has been toward ore liberal benefits in terms of subsistence

more liberal benefits in terms of subsistence and other allowances. In all contracts—AEC, ONE, and ABDO—file practice of paying triyes expenses extends to the presuployment interviews. Most large sorporations and hundreds of smaller from here Government contracts. In order to more readily justify payment of treat and moving expenses for new employees in their Government contracts, they generally provide for these payments to all of their employees—whether or not utilized on Government contracts.

Advertisements in newspapers and journalist fluch large companies as ECA, Western Electric, American Standard, Remington

Rectric, American Standard, Remington Rand, Westinghouse, Raytheon, and General Aniline—all large users of scientific and en-gineering personnel—are some of the recent advertisers who state that they will pay the relocation expenses of new employees. Pay-sectis are not confined to scientists and engineers. Advertisements stating that travel and moving expenses will be paid for such positions as sales representative, cost manager, systems consultant, financial analyst, systems analyst, auditor, tax supervisor, per-sonnel director, market research manager, sost estimator, manager of manufacturing, maintenance foreman, digital computer pro-gramer and traines, miary analyst, inventory control manager, marketing director, cost analyst, and skills training specialist were noted recently.

Cost of the proposed legislation is esti-mated at \$1,050,000 per year. This figure is based on estimates that \$,000 new employees for whom travel and moving expenses would be paid will be hired annually. The average cost per hire for moving household goods and for payment of personal travel expenses and per dism is estimated at \$350. This figure is besed on agency experience during the

was Color

The proposed legislation will not involve any expenditures for personal services.

PROVISION OF QUARTERS, FURNI-TURE AND EQUIPMENT, AND CER-TAIN OTHER PACILITIES TO CIVILIAN OFFICERS AND EM-PLOYEES

Mr. McCLELLAN. Mr. President, by request, I introduce, for appropriate reference, a bill to authorize Government renoics to provide quarters, household furniture and equipment, utilities, substatence, and isundry service to civilian officers and employees of the United tates, and for other purposes.

This draft bill was submitted to the Secate by the Director of the Bureau of the Budget on March 11, 1980, and was referred to the Committee on Government Operations. It is designed to elarity existing statutory authority for providing quariers, household furniture, subsistence, and so forth, to civilian em-

plovees of the Government who occupy Government quarters.

The proposed legislation would continue the basic authority now granted Government agencies by the act of March 5. 1928 (5 U.S.C. 75(a)). In addition, it would, first, authorize the President to issue regulations prescribing rates to be charged employees for the housing, facilities, and services provided, and, second, authorize housing for employees of Government contractors engaged on defense, atomic energy, and other projects who are not presently covered by the act of March 5, 1928.

The bill does not authorize any new construction or acquisition of Government quarters, nor does it alter the basic statutory policy that employees should. pay a reasonable rate for housing provided them, nor affect special statutes which authorize housing at specific rates or without charge.

Mr. President, I request that the letter from the Director of the Bureau of the Budget addressed to the President of the Senate be included in the Record at this point as a part of my remarks.

The ACTING PRESIDENT pro tempore. The bill will be received and appropriately referred; and, without objection, the letter will be printed in the RECORD.

The bill (S. 3486) to authorize Government agencies to provide quarters, household furniture and equipment, utilities, subsistence, and laundry service to civilian officers and employees of the United States, and for other purposes, introduced by Mr. McClellan, by request, was received, read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Government Operations.

The letter presented by Mr. McCLELLAN is as follows:

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT.

BUREAU OF THE BUDGET Washington, D.C., March 11, 1960.

Hon. RICHARD M. NIXON. President of the Senate, Washington, D.C.

MY DEAR MR. PRESIDENT: I have the honor to transmit herewith a proposed bill "to authorise Government agencies to provide quarters, household furniture and equipment, utilities, subsistence, and laundry service to civilian officers and employees of

the United States, and for other purposes."

The purpose of the proposed bill is to provide for the charging of uniform and equitable rates for occupancy of Governme owned quarters on a rental basis. The The bill would consolidate and restate related provisions of law and regulations which authorize the furnishing of quarters to Government employees, and it would vest suthority in the President to prescribe regulations which would insure fair and consistent treatment for all persons—civilian employees, military personnel, and non-Clovernment personnelwho occupy rental housing under like circumstances.

The bill would not authorize any new construction or other acquisition of quarters for any personnel. It would continue the authority, now covered by the act of March 5. 1928 (5 U.S.C. 75a), to provide quarters, household furniture and equipment, willities, subsistence, and jaundry service for civilian employees. It would also provide the basis for fixing rental rates and related charges for rental housing occupied by mem-bers of the uniformed services, but it would not change the existing authority to prov-quarters and related Heme to such mounts

The bill would also apply in those instances (principally involving certain facilities of the Department of Defense and the Atomie Energy Commission) where non-Government personnel—usually contractors' employees occupy Government quarters.

Section 5 of the set of March 5, 1928 (8 U.S.C. 75a), is the only existing law of general application to civilian employees with respect to providing quarters and fixing rents. It reads as follows:

The head of an executive department or independent establishment, where, in his fodgment, conditions of employment re-quire it, may continue to furnish divilians employed in the field service with quarters, heat, light, household equipment, subsisence, and laundry services; and appropriations of the character used before March & 1928, for such purposes are finade available therefor: Provided, That the resisonable value of such allowances shall be determined and considered as part of the compensation in fixing the salary rate of such divilians."

This law established the equitable principle that the Government should charge employees the reasonable value of quarters and related items furnished to them. However, it does not by its terms apply to thos Government quarters which are occupied by members of the uniformed services on a rental basis, nor to those Government quarsers which may be occupied by persons who are not employees of the Gevernment. Moreover, it is not specific enough for agencies to independently administer it with reasonable uniformity since it sets no de-tailed criteria for establishing rents and it does not expressly provide for Government-wide regulations thereunder. It gives no besis for determining reasonable value, that is, whether based on the commercial rental rates of comparable facilities, on the Government's investment in the quarters, or on other fectors.

Considerable variation in the interpretation of this 1928 law, and an evident failure by many agencies to charge their employees with the ressonable value of the quarters, came to the attention of both the Bureau of the Budget and the General Accounting Office about 10 years ago. As a result, the Eurosu. of the Budget in 1961 issued its Circular No. A-45, which established certain procedures intended to make the various gency practices uniform and more equitable to both the Government and the employees concerned. This circular prescribed, as the id criterion for determining reasonable basic criterion for determining resconsists value for rental purposes, their raths should be set at levels similar to those prevailing for comparable private housing into account the same area, after taking fato account sertain considerations which affect the value of the housing to the recipient such as isolated location, and instances where an employee might, for the convenience, of the Covernment, have to accept gueriers of a size or quality beyond that which he would choose of his own accord. The proposed bill would provide statutory authority for reswould provide statutory authority for regulations of the type now prescribed by the Bureau of the Budget circular. The procedure contemplated by this bill is similar to that provided in other statutes dealing with employee allowances and benefits, such as the Travel Expense Act of 1949, as amended (\$ U.S.O. 835-842), and the Gov. erament Employees Training Act (\$ U.S.O. 2301, et seq.).

Since 1938, several other laws have be emeted which authorize rental of quarters to Government personnel. The set of July 2, 1945, as amended (27 U.S.C. 112a), authored the occupancy of certain quarters on a rental basis by members of the uniformed services who are authorised to continue to receive their basis allowances for guarteen; dections 404(£) and 402 of the set of August 11, 1906, as emended (46 V.A.C. 1906a(£) and 1904(a), antiported the complete by sindle. ian personnel, on a rental basis, of Capehart housing and Wherry housing acquired by the Government, and occupancy of some Wherry housing by military personnel on that basis. These provisions of law did not specify how the rental rates were to be determined, and the draft bill would provide a basis for such determinations.

Section 407(a) of the set of August 20. 1957 (Public Law 88-241), authorizes the rental of inadequate public quarters to members of the uniformed services, and promembers of the uniformed services, and provides that such personnel will be paid an adjusted quarters allowance amounting to the net difference between (1) the fair rental value of the inadequate quarters, and (2) their basic allowance for quarters. The sec-tion provides that it shall be administered under regulations approved by the Fresident. These regulations have been issued by the Beads of the departments heads of the departments concerned, after approval by the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, under a delegation of authority prom the President in Executive Order No. 1970s, dated May 1, 1966. In addition to setting standards of adequacy, these regula-tions prescribe methods of setting fair rent 1 rains on the same batts as required by budget circular No. 4-45. The bill would permit these housing rentals to be fixed under the proposed Government-wide regu-lations which the Freedent would be au-

lations was the thorised to prescribe.

The bill would also permit the Freellent as aimilar basis to issue regulations to provide a similar basis for the determination of charges for household furniture and equipment, utilities, sub-sistence, and laundry service, where such items are sutherised to be supplied by the Government.

The draft bill also contains a prohibition against amployees being required to occupy Government rental quarters unless a determination has been made that necessary service cannot be rendered or property of the United States cannot be adequately protected otherwise. Such a prohibition has appeared in annual appropriation act provisions in recent years.

We recommend this draft bill be given the favorable consideration of the Congress. Sincerely pours.

MAURICE H. STANS. Director.

## AMENDMENT AND EXTENSION OF ANTI-RICKBACK STATUTE

Mr. McClattian. Mr. President, by request, I introduce, for appropriate reference, a bill which proposes to amena the Anti-Rickback Act to extend it to all types of negotiated Government contracts.

This proposed legislation was submisted to the Senate by the Comptroller General of the United States on March 22, 1960, and referred to the Committee on Government Operations. The bill I am now introducing conforms to the request of the Comptroller General that early action be taken by the Congress to amend the Anti-Kickback Act of 1946 to extend the provisions as proposed by the new legislation. According to the Comptroller General, the act now applies only to contracts entered into "on a cost-plus-a-direct-fee, or other cost-reimburable basis."

The letter from the Comptroller General in support of the proposed legisla-Gon which was forwarded to the Prisi-dent of the Senate sets forth the pur-sers and need for this legislation, and I set that it be meeted in the Record at his point as a part of my remarks.